# EXHIBIT 4

# In The Matter Of:

SYNQOR, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - Vol. 2
December 13, 2013

# CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

101 Arch Street 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02110 Phone: 617.542.0039 Fax: 617.542.2119

# Case 2:11-cv-00054-MHS-CMC Document 315-4 Filed 01/10/14 Page 3 of 16 PageID #:

23329
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Civil Action No. 2:11-CV-54-MHS-CMS

MARSHALL DIVISION

\*\*\*\*\*\*

SYNQOR, INC.,

Plaintiff,

V.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., AND VICOR Vol. 2
CORPORATION,

Defendants

\*\*\*\*\*\*

CONFIDENTIAL

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

CONTINUED VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D.

Friday, December 13, 2013

9:05 a.m.

Held at:

WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE and DORR, LLP

60 State Street

Boston, Massachusetts

Judith McGovern Williams, Court Reporter

# Case 2:11-cv-00054-MHS-CMC Document 315-4 Filed 01/10/14 Page 4 of 16 PageID #:

23330
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

	rage 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE and DORR, LLP
3	Louis W. Tompros, Esquire
4	60 State Street
5	Boston, Massachusetts 02109
6	617-526-6886
7	louistompros@wilmerhale.com
8	and
9	Rachel L. Weiner, Esquire
10	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
11	Washington, D.C. 20006
12	202-663-6068
13	rachel.weiner@wilmerhale.com
14	and
15	Theodoros Konstantakopoulos, Ph.D., Esquire
16	7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich Street
17	New York, New York 10007
18	212-295-6367
19	theodoros.konstantakopoulos@wilmerhale.com
20	On behalf of the Defendant, Cisco Systems, Inc.
21	
22	
23	
24	

# Case 2:11-cv-00054-MHS-CMC Document 315-4 Filed 01/10/14 Page 5 of 16 PageID #:

23331
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2	SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP
3	Thomas D. Rein, Esquire
4	One South Dearborn
5	Chicago, Illinois 60603
6	312-853-7117
7	trein@sidley.com
8	On behalf of the Plaintiff and the Witness
9	
10	QUINN EMANUEL
11	David Nelson, Esquire
12	500 West Madison Street, Suite 2450
13	Chicago, Illinois 60661
14	312-705-7400
15	davenelson@quinnemanuel.com
16	On behalf of the Defendant, Vicor Corporation
17	
18	Also present:
19	Craig Newman, Videographer
20	
21	Present for afternoon session only:
22	Allison Trzop of Wilmer Hale
23	
24	

23332 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

		7
1	A. Correct.	14:12:26
2	Q. Is there any discussion in any of the	14:12:28
3	patents that are involved in this case of modes of	14:12:31
4	operation?	14:12:34
5	A. Well, yes. These converters these	14:12:37
6	patents in this case, the specification, has	14:12:42
7	lengthy discussion about how the converter	14:12:48
8	operates, let's say in its normal mode of	14:12:51
9	operation, but also discusses things such as	14:12:54
10	Q. If you want to	14:12:55
11	A startup startup, shut down, short	14:12:58
12	circuit conditions.	14:12:59
13	Q. Let's take a look. It is Exhibit 2 is the	14:13:02
14	'190 patent. That is at least the one that I keep	14:13:05
15	coming back to for reference to the specifications.	14:13:07
16	(Witness complying.)	14:13:13
17	A. That is the easy part.	14:13:16
18	(Pause.)	14:13:17
19	(The witness viewing Exhibit 2.)	14:13:20
20	A. So I have it in front of me.	14:13:21
21	Q. And can you identify what you were	14:13:25
22	describing.	14:13:26
23	Or let me let's start with can you	14:13:30
24	identify what you were what you were describing	

23333 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	when you were discussing the different modes of	14:13:36
2	operation in the '190 patent?	14:13:38
3	A. For instance, in column 16 at line 22,	14:13:52
4	there is a discussion of a fault condition in a	14:13:57
5	converter. That would be a different mode of	14:14:01
6	operation.	14:14:03
7	Q. I am sorry. You said line 22 of column	14:14:06
8	16?	14:14:06
9	A. Yes. 22 through the paragraph starting	14:14:09
10	at 22 through 27.	14:14:14
11	So there is a discussion of a, if you	14:14:17
12	will, a mode. This is your word. It is not in the	14:14:21
13	patent specification here. But a a separate	14:14:26
14	condition from the other condition that was being	14:14:28
15	discussed, separate mode, if you will, one in which	14:14:31
16	the converter has a failure, a fault.	14:14:34
17	Then following down in the next	14:14:36
18	paragraph, starting at line 28, it is talking about	14:14:42
19	while the converter is being turned on, through	14:14:50
20	that paragraph. So that is a different mode. We	14:14:52
21	can call that the turn-on transition.	14:14:55
22	I think somewhere there might also be	14:15:07
23	a discussion or at least a reference to shutting	14:15:10
24	down or turning off the converter as well.	

23334
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	Q. There is also a reference to a normal mode	14:15:16
2	of operation in the patents? Right?	14:15:19
3	MR. REIN: In the '190?	14:15:21
4	MR. TOMPROS: I thought so, but maybe	14:15:23
5	I am wrong.	14:15:23
6	BY MR. TOMPROS:	14:15:24
7	Q. In the '190 patent specification?	14:15:25
8	A. Are you asking whether the word "normal	14:15:28
9	mode of operation" or the phrase "normal mode of	14:15:30
10	operation" is in the specification?	14:15:34
11	Q. I am.	14:15:35
12	A. I don't know that.	14:15:36
13	Q. Okay. The modes that you have just been	14:15:39
14	discussing, short circuit, turn off and turn on,	14:15:43
15	those are things that are outside of the normal	14:15:45
16	mode of operation? Is that right?	14:15:46
17	A. As I would use that, that phrase, yes.	14:15:50
18	Q. Does the '190 patent describe in its	14:15:55
19	specification anywhere an unregulated mode versus a	14:16:00
20	regulated mode for a converter?	14:16:02
21	A. It does not preclude it. As far as I	14:16:09
22	know, there is nothing in there that says it is not	14:16:12
23	allowed.	14:16:14
24	Q. Does	

23335
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	A. So I think a person of ordinary skill	14:16:19
2	reading the document would not be driven to think	14:16:22
3	it was not allowed, because there is nothing in it	14:16:25
4	that says so.	14:16:25
5	And so by definition, I think one a	14:16:30
6	person of ordinary skill in the art might consider	14:16:32
7	it as a possibility.	14:16:36
8	Q. So as I understand what you are saying, it	14:16:39
9	doesn't preclude it, but does the specification	14:16:42
10	actually describe a regulated mode versus an	14:16:46
11	unregulated mode of operation?	14:16:48
12	A. Well, I will have to ask you to clarify	14:16:54
13	your question.	14:16:55
14	Because certainly I have pointed to	14:16:57
15	potential modes of operation, like fault	14:17:00
16	conditions, startup, shut down, where a converter	14:17:03
17	might be unregulated as opposed to regulated.	14:17:08
18	Q. Okay. In the normal mode of operation,	14:17:12
19	does	14:17:14
20	MR. TOMPROS: Strike that. Let me	14:17:15
21	start the question again.	14:17:16
22	BY MR. TOMPROS:	14:17:16
23	Q. Does the specification of the '190 patent	14:17:19
24	describe an unregulated mode versus a regulated	

23336
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	mode in normal operation of a bus converter?	14:17:28
2	A. As I have said, it doesn't preclude it,	14:17:32
3	and to the degree a person of ordinary skill in the	14:17:34
4	art reading the document would, therefore, be	14:17:38
5	caused to think about it, then I then I think	14:17:42
6	you might say it describes it.	14:17:43
7	I don't think there is anything in the	14:17:46
8	specification that describes the benefits of such,	14:17:53
9	of having two different modes of operation.	14:17:55
10	Q. I do want to make sure I understand what	14:17:59
11	you're saying here.	14:18:00
12	So the specification doesn't preclude	14:18:02
13	two different modes of operation? Right?	14:18:05
14	A. I don't think there is any place that	14:18:08
15	insists that it only be one mode of operation	14:18:11
16	during normal operation.	14:18:12
17	Q. And, therefore, is it	14:18:13
18	MR. TOMPROS: Strike that.	14:18:14
19	BY MR. TOMPROS:	14:18:14
20	Q. Is it your testimony that, therefore,	14:18:16
21	because it doesn't preclude it, it describes it?	14:18:21
22	A. No. First of all, my testimony is what I	14:18:23
23	say, not how you characterize it.	14:18:25
24	What I said was since it doesn't	

23337
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	preclude it, it seems to me anyways that a person	14:18:34
2	of ordinary skill in the art reading it could	14:18:37
3	consider it a possibility that there would be an	14:18:41
4	unregulated mode and a regulated mode or an	14:18:44
5	unregulated mode and a semiregulated mode, and to	14:18:48
6	the degree that the patent specification, by not	14:18:51
7	precluding two different modes, causes the person	14:18:55
8	of ordinary skill in the art to consider it, well	14:18:57
9	then I, you know, I think we I don't quite know	14:19:00
10	what you mean by the word "describe," but it seems	14:19:02
11	to me that it causes the person to think of that	14:19:05
12	opportunity.	14:19:05
13	I do admit the I do say that the	14:19:12
14	specification doesn't teach any benefit of having	14:19:16
15	two different modes of operation.	14:19:17
16	Q. And it doesn't teach there being two	14:19:21
17	different modes of operation where one is regulated	14:19:24
18	and one is up unregulated, does it?	14:19:27
19	A. Well, I have said what I have said.	14:19:29
20	The fact that it doesn't preclude it	14:19:32
21	seems to me would cause the person of ordinary	14:19:34
22	skill in the art reading to it understand that it	14:19:37
23	was possible.	14:19:40
24	And given it is possible, now I think	

23338
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	we just simply have to maybe have a difference of	14:19:47
2	opinion about what you mean by the word "describe."	14:19:49
3	Q. Okay. The specification doesn't preclude	14:19:57
4	painting your bus converter yellow, does it?	14:19:59
5	A. No, is it does not.	14:20:01
6	Q. Does it teach painting your bus converter	14:20:04
7	yellow?	14:20:08
8	A. If you have a person what I am saying	14:20:11
9	in my answer, if a person of ordinary skill in the	14:20:14
10	art wants to consider that. But I think that is	14:20:17
11	not a very good analogy here, because a converter	14:20:20
12	is either unregulated or it is regulated.	14:20:22
13	So if it is not going to be	14:20:24
14	unregulated all the time, if the patent	14:20:26
15	specification does not require it to be unregulated	14:20:28
16	all the time, then, of course, the alternative	14:20:32
17	would be that some of the time it would be	14:20:34
18	regulated, of some type, fully regulated,	14:20:37
19	semiregulated.	14:20:38
20	And, you know, those are the choices,	14:20:42
21	whereas for colors, we could decide there is	14:20:44
22	hundreds, thousands, millions of colors. I think	14:20:48
23	it is a poor analogy.	14:20:50
24	Q. I apologize for harping on this. I just	

23339
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	want to make sure I have got it right one way or	14:21:04
2	the other.	14:21:04
3	Reading the words of the	14:21:06
4	specification, the words of the specification never	14:21:08
5	say unregulated mode? True?	14:21:12
6	A. Well, I don't know that that the phrase	14:21:15
7	is not there. Correct. But it describes an	14:21:20
8	isolation stage which left to itself is	14:21:26
9	unregulated. It certainly has plenty of	14:21:28
10	descriptions like that. And then several	14:21:31
11	embodiments using that structure in different in	14:21:36
12	different ways.	14:21:40
13	Q. Do the words "unregulated mode" appear or	14:21:43
14	not?	14:21:43
15	A. I don't think that phrase appears in the	14:21:46
16	specification.	14:21:46
17	Q. Do the words "regulated mode" appear or	14:21:49
18	not?	14:21:49
19	A. I know the word "regulation" appears.	14:21:54
20	Q. I agree with you there. Do the words	14:21:56
21	"regulated mode" appear or not?	14:21:58
22	A. I don't think so, but, you know, the	14:22:00
23	document has what it has. It is either there or	14:22:02
24	not.	

23340
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	Q. Okay. And that's why I want to focus on	14:22:05
2	your testimony.	14:22:06
3	Does the specification teach an	14:22:10
4	unregulated mode and a regulated mode of a	14:22:12
5	converter?	14:22:15
6	MR. REIN: That is asked and answered.	14:22:16
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	14:22:17
8	A. I think I have tried many times to answer	14:22:19
9	that question with I feel like to answer it once	14:22:22
10	again will my previous answers are as good as I	14:22:25
11	can of course.	14:22:26
12	BY MR. TOMPROS:	14:22:26
13	Q. Is it possible to answer that question yes	14:22:28
14	or no, where the question is does the specification	14:22:31
15	teach an unregulated mode and a regulated mode of a	14:22:33
16	converter?	14:22:34
17	A. I think the issue here is what is your	14:22:36
18	definition of "teach" or "describe." You know, if	14:22:40
19	you have higher levels of or more strict	14:22:45
20	interpretation of that word than I do as I am	14:22:48
21	talking, well, then I can't answer that question	14:22:51
22	without knowing exactly how you are interpreting	14:22:53
23	those words.	14:23:01
24	Q. Okay.	

23341
CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	A. And I believe my answer has always been	14:23:03
2	along the lines of it depends on how you interpret	14:23:07
3	the word "describes." I don't think you used the	14:23:11
4	word "teach" before. But it depends on how you	14:23:13
5	interpret that word.	14:23:14
6	Q. Are you familiar with the concept of a	14:23:17
7	person of ordinary skill in the art in the context	14:23:20
8	of patent law?	14:23:21
9	A. Yes. I am.	14:23:22
10	Q. All right.	14:23:23
11	A. Although I am not an attorney.	14:23:24
12	Q. And you have been an expert witness in	14:23:27
13	prior patent cases? Right?	14:23:28
14	A. I have.	14:23:29
15	Q. And you applied the concept of a person of	14:23:31
16	ordinary skill in the art?	14:23:32
17	A. As I understand it. Yes.	14:23:34
18	Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the	14:23:38
19	art reading the specification of the '190 patent	14:23:42
20	conclude that you, the inventor of the '190 patent,	14:23:46
21	had possession of the idea of a converter that had	14:23:50
22	a regulated mode and an unregulated mode?	14:23:58
23	A. Well, what I think they would conclude is	14:24:01
24	that there is nothing in the document that forbids	

23342 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY MARTIN F. SCHLECHT, Sc.D. - 12/13/2013

1	it from having those two modes. It does not	14:24:09
2	require there be only one.	14:24:10
3	Q. Okay. Let's go back to the I lost the	14:24:19
4	exhibit number. I apologize. The Exhibit 33,	14:24:21
5	which is the technical specification of the NiQor	14:24:24
6	product.	14:24:25
7	(Witness complying.)	14:24:27
8	Q. And I think you may have focused on this	14:24:34
9	before, but just to be clear.	14:24:35
10	It is page 4 of that specification,	14:24:40
11	"operating input voltage range under input	14:24:43
12	characteristics." Do you see that line?	14:24:46
13	A. Yes.	14:24:46
14	Q. Okay. And that's the line that specifies	14:24:49
15	the intended operating input voltage range? Is	14:24:53
16	that right?	14:24:53
17	A. Yes. There is actually two such lines, as	14:24:56
18	we can see here, one for the output voltages being	14:25:00
19	trimmed between .9 volts and 2 and a half volts,	14:25:03
20	and one for when it is trimmed to 3.3 volts.	14:25:06
21	Q. And then you referred to that note on	14:25:08
22	page 6	14:25:10
23	A. Yes.	14:25:11
24	Q which described a .4 volt head room	